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Abstract
Credit risk assessment plays an important role in efficient and safe banking decision-making. Many studies have been conducted to analyze credit scoring with a focus on achieving high accuracy. However, predicting credit scoring decisions also requires model construction that handles class imbalance and proper model implementation. This research aims to increase the accuracy of credit assessment by balancing data using Synthetic Minority Oversampling (SMOTE) and applying ensemble stacking learning techniques. The proposed model utilizes a base learner consisting of Random Forest, SVM, Extra-Tree Classifier, and XGBoost as a meta-learner. Then to handle unbalanced classes using SMOTE. The research process was carried out in several stages, namely Data Collection, Preprocessing, Oversampling, Modeling, and Evaluation. The model was tested using the German Credit dataset by applying cross-validation. The evaluation results show that the stacking ensemble learning model developed has optimal performance, with an accuracy of 83.21%, precision of 79.29%, recall of 91.78%, and f1-score of 85.08%. This research shows that optimizing the stacking ensemble learning model with data balancing using SMOTE in credit scoring can improve performance in credit scoring.

1. Introduction

In the current era of information technology development and financial innovation, the credit scoring process has become a crucial cornerstone in the decision-making of banks and lending
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Credit scoring is a job that aims to assess the credit risk of a prospective customer or business entity, which helps financial institutions make efficient and accurate decisions regarding the granting of credit [2]. In applying data analysis techniques and predictive models, credit scoring plays an important role in maintaining the balance between safe financing and lending to the right parties. This is because inappropriate lending decisions can result in huge losses [2].

Lending behavior and technological developments have changed the landscape of the credit assessment process [3]. Especially in this digital era, online lending has become a popular alternative for individuals and businesses to obtain funds without involving traditional financial institutions such as banks [4]. Such loans utilize the convenience and transparency of online platforms, resulting in a faster and easier process compared to conventional approaches [5]. However, online consumer lending is of great concern to the Company, as online consumer lending typically carries a higher credit risk than businesses in the conventional lending system [6].

Based on information from the Wind economic database in 2019, in China, the accumulated rate of 1-year payment defaults of some lending institutions or securities backing online consumer loans even reached 9% [7]. Although it is difficult to ensure that a borrower will default in the future, with advanced analytical approaches and appropriate modeling techniques, credit scoring can indicate potential default risk before a credit transaction is made [8], [9].

However, this development also brings about new challenges in credit risk assessment. In addition to the disadvantages of the traditional approach that can be easily affected by sample selection bias, as it only uses a sample of accepted applicants, while the applicant population also includes rejected applicants [10], [11]. The existence of large and highly variable data, as well as the complexity of factors that can affect credit decisions, makes demands on lending institutions to still be able to perform credit scoring quickly and accurately [12]. Therefore, many researchers have developed techniques to assess credit risk with data mining [11], [13]–[16]. Data mining is a machine learning method that aims to extract valuable information from existing data [3]. Using this technique, important information can be identified from customer data, credit history, and other relevant factors.

There have been many studies that implement data mining in credit scoring. Research by [17] who did a new approach to assessing credit applications by giving a binary score, by combining a Genetic Algorithm (GA) with Support Vector Machine (SVM). By applying 2 levels, which are determining the SVM parametrization and finding the most weighted feature set, this research was able to achieve an accuracy of 80.70%. Olivares et al in their research [18] explored the application of discrete-time joint models in credit scoring. The study combined survival analysis with longitudinal data by integrating variable covariates in survival analysis. From the study, it was found that the inclusion of time-varying covariates in the survival model improved the prediction of credit scoring. Using Australian, German, Japanese datasets, the research in [19] focuses on the implementation of the Extreme Learning Machine (ELM) classification tool for the credit scoring analysis model. Since ELM requires more hidden neurons and random determination of input weights and hidden biases, the study proposed a novel activation function and evolutionary approach to obtain optimized weights and biases using the Bat algorithm. From the model that has been built, this research can achieve consecutive accuracy of the Australian, German, and Japanese datasets of 89.92%, 81.18%, and 88.35%. The research conducted by [12] is focused on a new development called soft reordering one-dimensional CNN (SR-1D-CNN) which is designed to adaptively restructure the original table data to better suit CNN learning. By using 5 datasets from Polish, Ashare, GiveMeSomeCredit, Lending Club and HomerCreditDefaultRisk, the model built was able to produce the greatest accuracy of 95.18 from the Polish dataset.

The research conducted by the author will focus on developing a credit-scoring model using stacking ensemble learning techniques and handling unbalanced data. Despite technological advances and the application of machine learning models in credit scoring analysis, the main problem that often arises is the inability of the model to explain predictions and data imbalance [20]. As done in [9], [21]–[23] which focuses on handling class imbalance. It can be concluded that the performance of the model decreases inversely with increasing the level of class imbalance. This is proven by [1] by evaluating imbalanced using LIME and SHAP stability. This shows that the resulting interpretations of LIME and SHAP are less stable as class imbalance increases, concluding that class imbalance does hurt machine learning interpretations. Research conducted by [24]–[26] also revealed that ensemble models tend to produce good performance. Research [27] also reveals that in the context of credit scoring, ensemble methods based on decision trees such as random forest algorithms produce better classification performance compared to standard logistic regression models.
2. Method

In this research, credit scoring analysis is carried out with several stages, namely, data collection, preprocessing, oversampling, modeling, and evaluation. The framework of the stages of this research can be seen in Figure 1.
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A comprehensive framework is proposed as a guide to understanding the process that must be done to achieve high-accuracy credit scoring prediction results. The framework incorporates various important stages starting from data collection to evaluation. Figure 1 shows the main steps taken in this research. Through these steps, it is expected to present a solution that can overcome the problems that usually arise in credit scoring including data imbalance. A more detailed explanation of each stage in this research framework can be seen as follows.

2.1 Data Collection

In this section, data related to customer credit is grouped. The dataset used in this research is a German dataset sourced from UCI Machine Learning. The dataset consists of 20 attributes, i.e. "status", "duration", "credit_history", "purpose", "amount", "savings", "tenure", "installment_amout", "installment_level", "status_gender", "debtor_other", "current_place_of_residence", "property", "age", "installment_plan_other", "place_of_residence", "amount_of_credit", "occupation", "amount_of_responsibility", "telephone", "foreign_worker", and "credit_risk" as the labels. The dataset consists of 1000 records of credit data. Of these data, 700 records are good classes and 300 are bad classes. The dataset used in this research can be accessed via the URL link: [https://archive.ics.uci.edu/dataset/573/south+german+credit+update](https://archive.ics.uci.edu/dataset/573/south+german+credit+update).

2.2 Data preprocessing

Data preprocessing is used to clean and prepare the dataset so that it can be implemented and support the modeling stage. Data separation is done to separate features (X) which are features in the credit scoring dataset, and target variables (Y) which are class data. Feature encoding is also done using One-Hot Encoding. Data standardization is also done using Standard Scaler. This is done to make all data in each feature have the same scale and avoid model sensitivity issues due to scale differences.

2.3 Data oversampling

Oversampling of data is done to overcome datasets that have unbalanced classes [28]. Where the number of good consumers is more than the number of bad consumers. In this case are accepted credit applicants and rejected credit applicants, to overcome this problem which will have a habitual impact on the model, the oversampling technique is carried out [29]. With the application of oversampling, the model built will not be more inclined to the majority class only during the training process but is good at generalizing both classes. The oversampling technique performed in this research is the Synthetic
Minority Over-sampling Technique Evaluation (SMOTE) method. This technique is capable of generating synthesized data [30]. The sample is generated by increasing the sample that is different from the minority class sample [31]. SMOTE is a data augmentation method technique for classification datasets, which improves recognition performance without increasing the risk of data leakage [32].

2.4 Modeling with Stacking Ensemble Learning.

Initialization of the base model is done by selecting the base model used. In this research, Random Forest, SVM, and Extra-Tree Classifier algorithms were used. Some of the reasons for choosing these algorithms as base models include Random Forest, with its ability to overcome overfitting [33] and can produce stable and accurate predictions, which is used to combine several decision trees to produce the final prediction. Where the prediction results are drawn through voting from decision trees that work independently. It also outperforms logistic regression algorithms and is developing into a major algorithm in the credit scoring sector [34]. The SVM algorithm is also chosen and applied as a base model, due to its popularity and efficiency in solving classification and regression problems [35]. The working concept of this algorithm is to separate two classes by maximizing the margin between the two classes. Meanwhile, the Extra-Tree Classifier is also applied as a base model because in some studies it has been proven to show good performance [36]. This algorithm belongs to the ensemble learning category which is similar to Random Forest. However, this algorithm works by building a decision tree with random features and subsampling. Its advantage is that it is suitable for large data and its computational speed is also high.

These algorithms are trained using training data and can understand patterns in the data and make predictions. Once trained, the algorithms are used to predict test data, where each model predicts the probability for each class. The probability results from each base model are used by the final estimator or in this study, XGBoost. The ensemble uses the probability results from the base models as input features to help the meta-model produce better final predictions. Previously the data was divided into training data and testing data. The ratio is 80% training data and 20% testing data. A cross-validation of 5 times was performed on the ensemble stacking model developed using the 80% training data. This method is done because it has a good impact on the model built [37]. The concept is to train the model by using alternating data as training and testing, which from this process can help the model generalize data that is not seen in training. The prediction results provide a probability value for each credit risk class which is then used to determine the final decision. From these probability values, various thresholds that separate high and low credit risk classes can be explored. This research also tested several thresholds from the range of 0.1 to 0.9 in cross-validation. Threshold testing is done in a separate loop after all cross-validation iterations are completed. The threshold that yields the greatest accuracy among other threshold tests is used to classify the prediction results.

2.5 Evaluation

The confusion metric is one of the evaluation metrics used to analyze the performance of the stacking ensemble learning model, which is also used in this study. The confusion matrix can provide an overview of the prediction and actual state given by the algorithm model. Confusion Matrix has 4 important elements. Among them is True Positives (TP), which represents the amount of data that is actually in the positive class and predicted by the model as a positive class. Then True Negative (TN), which reflects the amount of data that is actually in the negative class and is predicted by the model as a negative class. While False Positive (FP) is the amount of data that is actually in the negative class but is predicted by the model as a positive class. False Negative (FN) is the amount of data that is actually in the positive class but predicted by the model as a negative class. By using the Confusion matrix, we can calculate and evaluate the performance of the model that has been built through metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and f1-score. The calculation details can be seen below.

1. Accuracy

Accuracy is a value that indicates how accurate the model is in predicting the entire data. Measured by the formula:

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$

(1)
2. Precision
Precision measures the degree to which work predicted to be fake is fake. We can calculate it with the following formula:

\[
Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}
\]

(2)

3. Recall (Sensitivity)
Recall measures the extent to which the model successfully detects fake jobs overall. Recall calculated by the following formula:

\[
Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}
\]

(3)

4. F1-Score
The F1-Score is a combination of precision and recall into a single metric that yields the overall model performance. This can be calculated by the following formula:

\[
F1 - Score = \frac{2 \times (Precision \times Recall)}{Precision + Recall}
\]

(4)

3. Results and Discussion

This research uses the German credit dataset that has been used in previous studies. The research was carried out in stages starting with data collection, namely collecting datasets where datasets used were obtained from UCI Machine Learning. Perform preprocessing, separating features (X) and target variables (Y). Perform data coding using One-Hot Encoding, and standardize data using StandardScaler. Then oversampling the data using SMOTE to overcome data imbalances that can cause the model’s performance to be not optimal in classification. The modeling stage is carried out to build a stacking ensemble learning model that combines Random Forest, SVM, and Extra-Tree Classifier algorithms as base learner. And Xgboost as a meta-learner model. The next stage is evaluation, cross-validation is done by calculating the probability of prediction using the stacking model. Apply to determine the Best Threshold to maximize accuracy by iterating through several threshold values. Whichever threshold is best is used to classify the data and calculate cross-validation accuracy. Then the model performance is calculated through accuracy, precision, recall, and f1-score. The following are the threshold experiments performed on cross-validation that correlate with the accuracy obtained.

The results of oversampling to balance the data using the SMOTE method against the amount of data can be seen in Figure 2.
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Handling unbalanced classes is done using the SMOTE method which augments the data from the minority class, resulting in data that is balanced between the classes. This reduces bias in predictions and allows the model to learn better patterns from both classes in the dataset. As a result of the SMOTE
A heatmap is displayed that shows the correlation between the features in the dataset and its target, which in this case is ‘credit_risk’. The darker the color, the stronger the correlation, and vice versa. The value that shows the size of the correlation if it is close to 1 means a positive correlation, while otherwise it is negatively correlated. Whereas when the value is 0, it means that there is no correlation between the two features.

The 3 features that are strongly positively correlated with the target include status (checking account status), credit history, and savings. The most negatively correlated features include duration (length of loan), amount (amount of money borrowed), and property (cars, real property, buildings, and so on). The experimental analysis of several thresholds from 0.1 to 0.9 on the credit score prediction model using the stacking ensemble learning technique can be seen in Figure 4.
Figure 4. Model performance on testing each threshold

In each cross-validation fold, the model is fit to the training which is then used to predict the probability of a positive class. Different thresholds are also applied. From the figure, it can be seen that the average accuracy changes as the threshold moves from 0.1 to 0.9. Each point on the grid represents the average accuracy of the model across all cross-validation folds at a particular threshold. The largest average accuracy obtained was 83.21% with a threshold of 0.2.

This research uses a stacking ensemble learning model by combining 3 algorithms, namely Random Forest, SVM, and Extra-Tree Classifier. The results obtained state that the model built successfully produces good performance and can improve the accuracy performance of previous research. The test results were evaluated using a confusion matrix in the form of accuracy, precision, recall, and score. The model built was able to produce the greatest accuracy of 83.21%, precision of 79.29%, recall of 91.78%, and F1-score of 85.07%. A comparison of the performance of the ensemble stacking model results built in this study with previous research models can be seen in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Techniques or algorithms used</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[38]</td>
<td>Combines the benefits of feature selection and ensemble frameworks. Using 5 basic classification algorithms and combining them with the weighted voting approach</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>77.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[39]</td>
<td>Bayesian optimization and PSO implementation</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>78.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>Combination of genetic algorithm and SVM</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>80.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[40]</td>
<td>Multi-grained augmented gradient boosting decision trees (mg-GBDT), (Gradient boosting decision trees, Multi-grained scanning)</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>77.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Method</td>
<td>Optimization of Credit Scoring Analysis Model using Stacking Ensemble Learning Approach and Oversampling with SMOTE</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>83.21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusion

In this research, credit scoring classification between good credit risk and bad credit risk is carried out using stacking ensemble learning from Random Forest, SVM, and Extra-Tree Classifier algorithms.
The meta-learner model is XGBoost. This research shows the effectiveness of the stacking ensemble learning model in classifying good and bad credit risk, namely between accepted and unaccepted credit applicants. Oversampling using SMOTE is used to overcome unbalanced datasets. An inter-class boundary search was also conducted using thresholds from 0.1 to 0.9 for classification. The evaluation results showed that the ensemble stacking model successfully improved the performance in distinguishing good and bad credit risk. The resulting performance of the stacking model achieved the best accuracy of 83.21% with a precision of 79.28%, recall of 91.78%, and f1-score of 85.97%. And the best threshold to separate the two classes is 0.2. In future research, it is recommended to further explore larger data, perform feature selection, and try to create new models to achieve more optimal credit scoring performance.
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